

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/037,674	03/09/98	MIZUHARA		Н	2933SE-11-CI		
			\neg		EXAMINER		
022442 SHERIDAN ROSS PC		MM91/0713	•	ΝΔΙΏΛ	0		
1560 BROADW				ART UNIT	7 7		
SUITE 1200							
ENVER CO 8	0202			2811			
				DATE MAILE) :		
					07/13/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/037,674

Examiner

Art Unit 2811

Mizuhara et al.

Office Action Summary

ORI NADAV

	<u> </u>						1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the co	over she	et with	the cor	respoi	ndence (address		
MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								v filed	
ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a considered timely. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the	cation. s, a reply v period will by statute,	vithin the apply an	statuto nd will e applica	ry minin xpire SIX	num of X (6) N become	thirty (3 10NTHS	30) days from the DONED (will e mailing o	. § 133).
rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 25, 2	2001								·
This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is no	n-final.							
								nerits is	
tion of Claims									
Claim(s) <u>16-33</u>				is/	are pe	ending i	n the a	pplicatio	n.
la) Of the above, claim(s) 16-24				is	/are v	vithdrav	vn fron	n conside	eration.
Claim(s)		•			is/a	are allo	wed.		
Claim(s) <u>25-33</u>					is/a	are reje	cted.		
Claim(s)					is/a	are obje	cted to) .	
Claims		are	subject	t to res	trictio	n and/o	or electi	on requi	rement.
tion Papers									
The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 🤞	approve	ed b)	□ disap	proved	١.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	nin e r.								
	priority ur	nder 35	U.S.C.	§ 119)(a)-(d).			į
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been i	eceived	١ .						
				plicatio	n No.				. •
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	document eau (PCT	ts have Rule 17	been r 7.2(a)).	eceived	in th				
ent(s)									İ
otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Int	terview Sun	nmary (P1	O-413) P	aper No(s)	_		
otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) 🔲 No	otice of Info	rmal Pate	nt Applica	ition (PT	O-152)			
formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) 🗌 O	her:							
	Cor Reply CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory minunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the tried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on May 25, This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pton of Claims Claim(s) 16-33 Ea) Of the above, claim(s) 16-24 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/ar The proposed drawing correction filled on is/ar The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents has all by Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents has all copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestication of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestication of the References Cited (PTO-892) office of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	OR Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply veconsidered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will minurication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the priority deciment of the maximum statutory period will minurication. Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 25, 2001 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is not since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay tion of Claims Claim(s) 16-33 Claim(s) 16-33 Claim(s) 25-33 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 31 U.S.C. § 119 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been in application from the International Bureau (PCT eet the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority entits) Potice of Perferences Cited (PTO-892) Potice of Draftsperson's Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948) 19 Dice of Draftsperson's Petent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	OR Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In nucer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply armmunication. period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on May 25, 2001 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formations of Claims Claim(s) 16-33 (a) Of the above, claim(s) 16-24 Claim(s) 16-33 (a) Of the above, claim(s) 16-24 Claim(s) 25-33 Claim(s) 25-33 Claim(s) 25-33 Claim(s) Service of provided to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filled on is/are objected to by the proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the cathoric of the priority documents have been received and supplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1) et the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3 entits) price of Peterances Cited (PTO-892) price of Defenserson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	OR Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	OR Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	OR Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM AILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timel are SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days considered timely specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the munulication. a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 25, 2001 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the relosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Ition of Claims Claim(s) 16-33 is/are pending in the a is/are pending in the a is/are withdrawn from the above, claim(s) 16-24 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25-33 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25-33 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25-33 is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support for a modified SOG film formed by implanting boron impurity into an inorganic SOG film, as recited in claim 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2811

4. Claims 25-33, insofar as in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura et al. (4,984,055) or Japanese patent (6-291202).

Okumura et al. teach in figure 13D a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor substrate 1, wires 16 located on the substrate, and a passivation layer covering the surface of the substrate and the wires, including a first insulating film 13 comprising modified SOG containing boron impurities (column 16, line 15), and a second insulating film 12 comprising oxide having a hygroscopicity lower than the first insulating film and being located on at least one of an upper side and lower side of the first insulating film.

Japanese patent (6-291202) teaches in figure 1b a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor substrate 11, wires 13 located on the substrate, and a passivation layer covering the surface of the substrate and the wires, including a first insulating film 15 comprising modified SOG containing boron impurities (abstract), and a second insulating film 16 comprising silicon oxide having a hygroscopicity lower than the first insulating film and being located on at least one of an upper side and a lower side of the first insulating film.

Although Okumura et al. and Japanese patent (6-291202) do not explicitly state that layers 13 and 15, respectively, are passivation layers, layers 13 and 15 meet the functional limitations of the claim since they protect the wires. Therefore, the claimed

Art Unit: 2811

invention is considered to be in at least obvious over Okumura et al. or Japanese patent (6-291202) device.

Regarding the processing limitations ("a modified SOG film formed by implanting boron impurity into an organic/inorganic SOG film"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claims 26, 29 and 32, although Okumura et al. and Japanese patent (6-291202) do not explicitly state that silicon oxide has a hygroscopicity lower than boron doped SOG, it is well known in the art that silicon oxide has a hygroscopicity lower than boron doped SOG, of which judicial notice may be taken.

Regarding the processing limitations recited in claim 28 ("decomposing organic components by the selected impurity"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2811

5. Applicant argues that prior art does not teach a modified SOG film formed by implanting boron impurity into an organic or inorganic SOG film

The claimed recitation of forming a modified SOG film by implanting boron impurity into an organic/inorganic SOG film is a processing limitation which does not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2811

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 3 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center Receptionists whose telephone number is 308-0956

> TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ori Nadav

July 6, 2001